

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/589,867	08/18/2006	Srikanth Venkatachari	3502-1109	3778
466 7590 12/12/2011 YOUNG & THOMPSON		EXAMINER		
209 Madison Street			STIMPERT, PHILIP EARL	
Suite 500 Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3746	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/12/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/589.867	VENKATACHARI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
PHILIP STIMPERT	3746		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status	5

	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 July 2011</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on					
	; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.					
4)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pane Quayre, 1955 C.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)🛛	Claim(s) 1-5.7-13.16.17 and 20-27 is/are pending in the application.					
	5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-13,16,17 and 20-27</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
9)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
	11) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2006 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06) 5)					
J.S. Patent and T	rademark Office					
PTOL-326 (F	lev. 03-11) Office Action Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20111201					

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 4, 5, 7, 11, 16, 17, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Each of these claims recites responding to either a second or an alarm liquid level. Those of ordinary skill in the art are led to the assumption from the plain meaning of the claims and the disclosure of the invention that these newly recited levels are beyond the first level of the independent claims in the predetermined direction.

 However, this contradicts the limitations of the independent claims as most recently amended. In particular, the independent claims recite that the invention functions "so as to keep the surface level between said first value and the other value of the liquid surface level." It is unclear how this limitation can be reconciled with the additional liquid levels recited in the rejected claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3746

 Claims 1, 3-5, 7-13, 16, 17, and 20-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,481,973 to Struthers (Struthers hereafter) in view of US Patent 4,945,491 to Rishel (Rishel).

Regarding claim 1, Struthers teaches (see Fig. 1) a pump station (10) which 6. transfers liquid from a tank (84) and is controlled by an electric drive including a frequency converter (30). Struthers teaches that several pumps may be operated, and may be alternated in operation to wear evenly (col. 6, In. 29-41). Struthers further teaches measuring the level of the liquid with a sensor (70) and controlling the activation of the pump based on the measured level (col. 5. In. 44-49) and selecting a first level (full tank). Struthers teaches monitoring the moment at which the tank reaches the first value from a predetermined direction and controlling the pump to start its operation in order to move the level in the opposite direction. Struthers also teaches detecting (see 124) a second moment when the liquid surface level reaches another value of the liquid surface level (at which the pump can no longer usefully function, see col. 7, In. 51-54) and stopping the pump at that time (125), thereby essentially keeping the surface level between the first level and the second level. Struthers indicates that the difference between these two levels is less than the whole volume of the tank (there is volume above the first level, see col. 7, In. 30-33, and the tank may not be completely empty, see col. 7, In. 51-54). Further, this is accomplished by means of a controller which includes a microprocessor (24) and an inverter (30, or frequency converter). The method steps are therefore considered to be performed in the frequency converter (30). Struthers does not specifically teach selecting a first rotation speed at which the amount

Art Unit: 3746

of transferred fluid relative to consumed energy is greatest, instead teaching only a "standard speed" (col. 7, In. 41). Rishel teaches (see Fig. 1 generally) a monitor and control system for a pump installation. In particular, Rishel teaches selecting a pump operating condition with maximum efficiency (W in the equation at the top of col. 7). This efficiency is dimensionless, but the scaling factor (K) which removes the dimensions is measured in foot-gallons per minute per kilowatt, which indicates that the efficiency is directly related to the amount of transferred liquid relative to the energy consumed. It is well known in the art that improving the efficiency of a system can reduce the energy require and thereby the cost. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to select as a first operation speed, i.e. the standard speed, of the pump of Struthers a speed of maximum efficiency in order to minimize energy used and thus reduce costs.

- Regarding claim 3, Struthers teaches that the tank (84) is emptied by the pumps, and that therefore the predetermined direction is from the bottom toward the top.
- 8. Regarding claim 4, Struthers teaches selecting separate first and second values of the liquid and running the pumps at different speeds accordingly (col. 5, In. 63 through col. 6, In. 7). In particular, Struthers teaches allowing a higher speed when the liquid level is higher, which one of ordinary skill would appreciate would allow the system to prevent overflow of the tank.
- Regarding claim 5, Struthers teaches that the second speed value is a maximum rotation speed (col. 6, In. 4, "higher maximum speed").

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/589,867 Art Unit: 3746

10. Regarding claim 7, Struthers teaches that a second pump may be operated to increase flow rates (same citation), and that an alarm level of overfill may be reached (112). In such an overfill situation, with a multiple pump installation, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the maximum pumping capability would be required, thus the second or further pumps would be activated at such a condition.

- 11. Regarding claim 8, Struthers teaches that the predetermined surface level is substantially stored in the frequency converter, inasmuch as the indication from the level sensor (70) which initiates the pump operation is evaluated in the controller (24) and converter (30). Therefore, at least a binary representation of the tank state indicated by the sensor must be stored or inherently formed in the circuit architecture. Further, Rishel teaches storing flowrate setpoints (Q1, col. 7, In. 52-55) which one of ordinary skill in the art would appreciate are essentially proportional, and in a VSD type system analogous, to the rotation speed setting.
- 12. Regarding claim 9, evaluation of the signal from the level sensor (70) is performed by the frequency converter, or controller, and thus the level is measured therein.
- 13. Regarding claim 10, Struthers teaches providing an alarm signal (col. 7, ln. 30-31, at step 112), and teaches that the pump is controlled on the basis of that signal (col. 8, ln. 64). Struthers also teaches that the control board (22) of each pump may send alarm signals when appropriate, and can operate on the basis thereof, for instance to shut down the pump (col. 8, ln. 62 through col. 9, ln. 12).

Art Unit: 3746

14. Regarding claim 11, Struthers teaches that an alarm function (112) is performed when the liquid surface level exceeds a selected alarm limit value.

- 15. Regarding claim 12, Struthers teaches running the pump in reverse to suspend solids in the tank (col. 7, ln. 1-23). As this creates a vortex, it will tend to vary the surface level of the liquid, and while the pump is running in reverse, any liquid entering the tank will change the liquid level (hence the need for an updated liquid level in lines 22-23). This would have the effect of reducing deposition of solids on the bottom of the tank and at any particular fixed surface level on the sides of the tank.
- 16. Regarding claim 13, Struthers teaches a frequency converter (24, 30) and a pump station (10) with a liquid tank (84), pump (54) and electric drive (12). Struthers teaches that the frequency converter (30) and associated controller (22, 24) comprise means for storing a first value of the liquid level (col. 3, In. 53-56, memory device, liquid level storage indicated by 104 and 112 in Fig. 5A), means for storing a first value of the rotation speed of the pump (same memory device, speed storage indicated by col. 5, In. 12-17), means (22, 24) for measuring the liquid level on the basis of a signal received from a sensor (70), means for detecting the moment the liquid surface level reaches the first value (104) from a predetermined direction and means for controlling the rotation speed of the pump (col. 5, In. 12-17) to the first value of the rotation speed in response to the moment detection in order to run the pump and thereby move the level in the opposite direction. Struthers also teaches means (22, 24) for detecting (see 124) a second moment when the liquid surface level reaches another value of the liquid surface level (at which the pump can no longer usefully function, see col. 7, In. 51-54)

Art Unit: 3746

and stopping the pump at that time (125), thereby essentially keeping the surface level between the first level and the second level. Struthers indicates that the difference between these two levels is less than the whole volume of the tank (there is volume above the first level, see col. 7, ln. 30-33, and the tank may not be completely empty, see col. 7, ln. 51-54). According to the combination above (refer to item 6 of this office action), the first speed is substantially the most efficient as taught by Rishel and as claimed. Finally, Struthers teaches that several pumps may be operated, and may be alternated in operation to wear evenly (col. 6, ln. 29-41), by control means similar to the central controller (60) of Rishel which would transmit control data to the frequency converters (30) of the pumps of Struthers.

- 17. Regarding claim 16, Struthers teaches selecting separate first and second values of the liquid and running the pump at different speeds accordingly (col. 5, In. 63 through col. 6, In. 7). In particular, Struthers teaches allowing a higher speed when the liquid level is higher, which one of ordinary skill would appreciate would allow the system to prevent overflow of the tank. The controller (22, 24) and associated frequency converter (30) provide the means to accomplish this.
- Regarding claim 17, Struthers teaches that the second speed value is a maximum rotation speed (col. 6, In. 4, "higher maximum speed").
- Regarding claim 20, Struthers teaches that the frequency converter comprises
 means (36) for transmitting surface level data to the frequency converter of a second
 pump (76).

Art Unit: 3746

20. Regarding claim 21, Struthers teaches a memory unit (col. 3, In. 53-61, memory device) for storage of the surface level and speed, as well as a program for controlling the drive.

- 21. Regarding claim 22, Struthers teaches a measurement unit (22) for receiving a signal from the level sensor (70) and determining the surface level (104, 112) on the basis of the signal.
- 22. Regarding claim 23, Struthers teaches a terminal (71) for connecting the level sensor (70).
- Regarding claim 24, Struthers teaches a processor (24) for controlling the electric
 drive on the basis of a program (as above) that controls the processor.
- 24. Regarding claim 25, Struthers teaches means (22, 24) for receiving an alarm signal (as in 112) and for controlling the pump on the basis of that signal (as in 112).
- Regarding claim 26, Struthers teaches means for performing an alarm function
 if the liquid surface level exceeds an alarm level (col. 7, In. 29-30).
- 26. Regarding claim 27, Struthers teaches that the frequency converter comprises software for measurement of the liquid level on the basis of a signal from the sensor and control of the rotation speed of the pump based thereon (102, 104, 118).
- Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Struthers
 in view of Rishel as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of US Patent
 2.462.076 to Dryden (Dryden).

Art Unit: 3746

28. Struthers in combination with Rishel teaches the inventions of claim 1 as detailed above. However, Struthers and Rishel both relate to pumping systems which are designed to empty a tank, rather than to fill it. Dryden teaches a system in which a pump is utilized to maintain liquid level in "a container from which fluid is drawn either continuously or periodically," (col. 1, ln. 3-4). The benefits of Struthers as modified by Rishel, such as efficiency, remote control, and reliability, could as easily be obtained by applying their teachings to a system such as Dryden, in order to create an efficient and reliable system of that type. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the control apparatus and methods of Struthers and Rishel could be used in an apparatus such as that of Dryden, in which a tank is filled by a pump. One of ordinary skill would appreciate that the predetermined direction would be from the top to the bottom in such a system.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 22 Jul 11 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 30. With respect to the argument that the applicant's invention does not require "online" calculation of efficiency, there is no limitation to that effect in the claims as drafted. In particular, the limitation which is present in the claims "selecting as a first value of pump rotation speed substantially a value at which an amount of transferred liquid relative to consumed energy is at maximum." does not require that the first value

Art Unit: 3746

remain constant. In fact, it appears that the applicant explicitly desires the various recited values be variable, as evidenced by claim 12, for instance.

- 31. With respect to the argument that Struthers does not teach keeping the liquid level between two values, the examiner disagrees. As noted above, Struthers indicates that the difference between the activation and deactivation levels of the pump is less than the whole volume of the tank (there is volume above the first level, see col. 7, ln. 30-33, and the tank may not be completely empty, see col. 7, ln. 51-54). Further, Struthers indicates that the level is kept between those values at least as much as done by the claimed invention, which includes a second level at which the pump is activated at its maximum speed, and a further level at which the system gives an alarm.
- 32. In light of the foregoing, the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3746

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHILIP STIMPERT whose telephone number is (571)270-1890. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30AM-4:00PM, FST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Devon Kramer can be reached on (571) 272-7118. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Devon C Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746

/P. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3746 1 December 2011

Art Unit: 3746